

From the:
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:	·	PCT			
Madderns					
1st Floor Wolf Blass House	Wer	TTEN OPINION OF THE			
64 Hindmarsh Square		NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
ADELAIDE SA 5000					
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 9 AUG 2004			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	OR FURTHER ACTION			
21066PCT		See paragraph 2 below			
	g date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/AU2004/000864 30 June 2004		30 June 2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national class	ssification and IPC				
Int. Cl. 7 C12Q 1/68, C12M 1/34, G01N 33/48	•				
Applicant					
RAUSTECH PTY LTD et al					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the follows	ing items:	·			
X Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inventive step	and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	·				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international app	plication	·			
X Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the IDEA/AII	Authorized Officer				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU Authorized Officer AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE					
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA	BAYER MITRO	BAYER MITROVIC			
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au	Telephone No. (02)	Telephone No. (02) 6283 2164			

IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 22 DEC 2005

WRLATEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. 0/562369
PCT/AU2004/000864

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regar which it wa	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in as filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	the fo	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into solution language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of sational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regar claimed in	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the med invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of	material				
	a	a sequence listing				
	t	able(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format	of material				
	i	n written format				
	i	n computer readable form				
	c. time of	filing/furnishing				
	contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[_] ,	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	filed	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additional	comments:				

WRYTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000864

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11-52	YES
	Claims 1, 4, 7, 9	NO
Inventive step (IS).	Claims 11	YES
	Claims 1-10, 12-52	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-52	· YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The following documents identified in the International Search Report have been considered for the purposes of this report:

D1: US 2004/0055892

D2: WO2003/062456

D3: M. STEWARD ET AL."NANOXEROGRAPHY: THE USE OF ELECTROSTATIC FORCES TO PATTERN NANOPARTICLES", Proceedings of the 2003 NSF Design Service and Manufacturing Grantees and Research Conference, Birmingham, Alabama, 6-9 January 2003, p.1-7.

D4: Derwent Abstract Accession No.92-154370/19, Class S06,

JP 04-086602 A (TOPPAN PRINTING CO LTD) 19 March 1992

Document D1 discloses the patterned electrophoretic deposition of nanostructure materials onto the substrate covered by mask. Electrode is attached to the areas not covered by mask and the direct (or alternating) field is applied onto the substrate causing the nanoparticles to migrate and attach themselves to the substrate. Nanoparticles are initially prepared in either true solution or in suspension, i.e. there is not disclosure of emulsion.

Document D2 discloses fabrication method chips and arrays for analytical (bio)chemistry applications. A multielectrode chip lithographed in wafer with a number of polarisable electrodes is put into contact with solution or suspension of colloidal carrier particles (such as nanoparticles) having biochemical recognition element attached. Typical recognition elements are molecular tweezers, enzymes, antibodies, receptors oligonucleotides, etc. Potential is briefly applied to selected electrodes and the recognition elements are selectively deposited onto them. Typical carrier particles included are: colloidal gold, glass, latex, polyurethane or unspecified polymers.

Document D3 discloses the concept of nanoxerography. A high resolution charge pattern is generated in the electret film to which nanoparticles in the solution or suspension are attracted. Particles are electrostatically assembled into a spatial pattern.

The use of nanoxerography is suggested in the area of electron/photon devices, high-density data storage, protein recognition, DNA hybridisation etc.

In each of the documents D1-D3 particles are initially present in the (true) solution or suspension, i.e. there is no disclosure of the use of emulsions. It is noticed, however, that the concepts of suspension and emulsion are possibly valid only for down to 0.1 micrometer size particles. In the case of solution of nanometre structures, suspensions and emulsions can not always be distinguished from each other.

inguished from each other. Shill is an own lain nod extensive to

Document D4 discloses the production of a colour filter by electrophotographic process. An electrostatic pattern is generated onto the electrophotographic sensitive body. A liquid developer, consisting of coloured resin dispersed in the carrier liquid, is then applied to produce desired pattern of pixels. Document does not specify the type of dispersion.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000864

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
The following of	observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question	whathar the eleine are full-

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 34 is not fairly based because of the omission of the feature that liquid composition comprises emulsion.

64 L add 39



International Application No.

PCT/AU2004/000864

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: V

NOVELTY AND INVENTIVE STEP - CLAIMS 1-52

In light of the above observation it is concluded:

Claims 1 and 4 are not novel when compared independently to D1, D2 and D3 because all essential features are disclosed. Furthermore, appended claims 2, 3, 5, 6 and 52 relate to parameters or structures that are merely matters of design choice when the general technical knowledge about the state of the art is used and hence they cannot contribute to patentable invention.

Claims 7 and 9 lack novelty when independently compared to D2 and D3 because all essential features are disclosed. Furthermore, appended claims 8, 10, 12-33 and 51 relate to parameters or structures that are merely matters of design choice when the general technical knowledge about the state of the art is used and hence they cannot contribute to patentable invention.

The invention defined in claims 34, 42, 44 and 46 does not involve an inventive step in light of D3 and D4.

The claimed invention differs from the cited art in mere variation of steps disclosed or suggested in D3 and D4 and with the use of emulsion.

I consider that this difference constitutes no more than a mere workshop improvement. It is an arrangement that any competent worker in the art would be expected to make directly and without difficulty and by routine steps alone.

Furthermore, appended claims 35-41, 43, 45, 47-50 relate to parameters or structures that are merely matters of design choice when the general technical knowledge about the state of the art is used and hence they cannot contribute to patentable invention.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY CLAIMS 1-52

Invention defined in claims 1-52 is industrially applicable.